arXiv:1406.3286v2 [math.AT] 29 Mar 2015

A REMARK ON HOPKINS' CHROMATIC SPLITTING CONJECTURE

JACK MORAVA

Abstract. Ravenel [4 §8.10] proved the remarkable fact that the K-theoretic localization $L_K S^0$ of the sphere spectrum has

$$\pi_{-2} L_K S^0 \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \ .$$

Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture [2] implies, more generally, that there are 3^{n-1} copies of $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_p$ in the homotopy groups of the $E(n)$-localization of S^0; but where these copies occur can be confusing. We try here to simplify this book-keeping.

1. Introduction

This document is a footnote to Mark Hovey's account of Mike Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture, but some of the notation here differs slightly from his:

I'll write $[X, Y]$ for the spectrum of maps from X to Y, and $[X, Y]_s$ for its sth homotopy group; SX is the suspension of X . The Bousfield localization of the sphere spectrum S^0 with respect to $E(n)$ will be our main concern (the relevant prime p will be suppressed) and for a general spectrum E I'll simplify $L_E S^0$ to L_E and write L_n for $L_{E(n)}$. Since $E(n)$ is smashing, $L_n X = L_n \wedge X$ is a homology theory.

There are standard homomorphisms $L_n \to L_{n-k}$ of ringspectra for $n \geq k$, and a fiber product square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_n & \longrightarrow & L_{K(n)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & L_{n-1} L_{K(n)} \end{array}$$

as well as a cofiber sequence [2 §4.1]

$$S^{-1} L_{K(n)} \longrightarrow [L_{n-1}, L_n] \longrightarrow L_n \ .$$

Date: 27 March 2015.

This work was motivated by Hans-Werner Henn's talk on [1] at the August 2011 Hamburg conference on structured ringspectra. I would also like to thank Agnès Beaudry for helpful conversation.

The splitting conjecture [H §4.2] asserts

- the existence of a monomorphism of an exterior algebra

$$E^*_{W(\mathbb{F}_p)}(\zeta_{2i+1} \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1) \to H^*_c(S_n, W(\mathbb{F}_p))$$

[cf [3 §2.2.5]; ζ_i corresponds to Hovey's x_{i+1}] which becomes an isomorphism after rationalization, such that the products

$$\zeta_I \ := \ \zeta_{2i_1+1} \cdots \zeta_{2i_l+1}$$

(indexed by sequences $I = i_1, \ldots, i_l$ with $0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_l < n$) survive the descent spectral sequence

$$H^*_c(S_n, W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p))^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)} \Rightarrow \pi_* L_{K(n)}$$

to define maps

$$\zeta_I : S^{-|I|} \to L_{K(n)}$$

($|I| = 2\sum i_k + l$) such that

- the resulting composites

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^{-|I|-1} & \xrightarrow{S^{-1}\zeta_I} & S^{-1}L_{K(n)} & \longrightarrow & [L_{n-1}, L_n] \\ \downarrow & & & \overset{S^{-1}\boldsymbol{\zeta}_I}{\nearrow} & \\ S^{-|I|-1}L_{n-1-i_l} & & & & \end{array}$$

factor as shown, yielding an equivalence

$$\vee S^{-1}\boldsymbol{\zeta}_I : \vee_I \, S^{-|I|-1}L_{n-1-i_l} \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} [L_{n-1}, L_n] \ .$$

2. Generating functions

The vector space dual

$$X \mapsto \mathsf{L}^*_n(X) := [L_0, L_n \wedge X]^D_{-*} := \mathrm{Hom}([L_0, L_n \wedge X]_{-*}, \mathbb{Q})$$

of $[L_0, L_n \wedge X]$ defines a cohomology theory which, following Hovey, records the divisible groups in the homotopy of L_n. Let

$$\mathsf{L}_n(T) := \sum_s [L_0, L_n]^D_{-s} \cdot T^s \ ,$$

where $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces have been identified with their dimensions, eg

$$\mathsf{L}_0(T) = 1,\ \mathsf{L}_1(T) = T^2,\ \mathsf{L}_2(T) = 2T^4 + T^5\ .$$

Proposition: The splitting conjecture implies that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \mathsf{L}_n(T)\ u^n = (1 - \sum_{k\geq 1} \epsilon_{k-1}(T)\ (uT^2)^k)^{-1}$$

where

$$\epsilon_k(T) = \prod_{0\leq i\leq k-1} (1 + T^{2i+1})$$

is the Poincaré series for $E^*(k) := H^*_c(S_k, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ (with $\epsilon_0 = 1$).

Proof: It follows from the conjecture that

$$[L_0, L_n] = [L_0, [L_{n-1}, L_n]]$$

[2 Prop 5.1], so when $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathsf{L}_n(T) = \sum [L_0, L_{n-1-i_l}]^D_{|I|+1-s} \cdot T^s = \sum \mathsf{L}_{n-1-i_l}(T) \cdot T^{|I|+1}\ .$$

This can be rearranged as

$$\sum_{0\leq k\leq n-1} \mathsf{L}_{n-1-k}(T) \cdot \sum_{i_l=k} T^{|I|+1}\ ;$$

but the right-most term can be written as the sum

$$T \cdot T^{2k+1} \sum T^{|\tilde{I}|} = T^{2(k+1)} \epsilon_k(T)$$

over sequences $\tilde{I}$ of the form $\{0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < k\}$.

We thus have the recursion relation

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{L}}_n(T) := T^{-2n} L_n(T) = \sum_{0\leq k\leq n-1} \epsilon_{n-k-1}(T) \cdot \widetilde{\mathsf{L}}_k(T)$$

and if $\epsilon(u) := 1 + \sum_{i\geq 0} \epsilon_i u^{i+1}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathsf{L}} := \sum_{n\geq 0} \widetilde{\mathsf{L}}_n(T)\ u^n\ &= \sum_{n-1\geq k\geq 0, n\geq 0} \epsilon_{n-k-1}(T) \cdot \widetilde{\mathsf{L}}_k(T) \\ = \sum_{n-1\geq k\geq 0, n\geq 0} \epsilon_{n-k-1} u^{n-k} \cdot \widetilde{\mathsf{L}}_k\ u^k\ &= \ 1 + (\epsilon - 1)\ \widetilde{\mathsf{L}}\ , \end{aligned}$$

so $\widetilde{\mathsf{L}} = (2 - \epsilon)^{-1}$. Replacing $u \mapsto uT^2$, we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \mathsf{L}_n(T)\ u^n = (1 - \sum_{k\geq 1} \epsilon_{k-1}(T)\ (uT^2)^k)^{-1}$$

as asserted. □

For example, if we specialize $T \to 1$ then $\epsilon_k \to 2^k$ and

$$\epsilon \to 1 + \sum_{0 \le k} 2^k u^{k+1} = \frac{1-u}{1-2u} \ ,$$

so

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{L}} \to \frac{1-2u}{1-3u} = 1 + \sum_{1 \le k} 3^{k-1} u^k \ ,$$

ie $\mathsf{L}_n(1) \to 3^{n-1}$ if $n \ge 1$ [H §5]. Similarly, we recover

$$\mathsf{L}_3(1) = T^6(1 + 2\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) = 4T^6 + 3T^7 + T^9 + T^{10} \ .$$

Corollary: The splitting conjecture implies that

$$\mathsf{L}_n(T) = \sum \binom{n}{\Sigma n_i} \epsilon_{i-1}(T)^{n_i} \cdot T^{2r} \ ,$$

(indexed by partitions $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$ of n with r parts, with

$$\binom{n}{\Sigma n_i} = \frac{n!}{\prod n_i!}$$

denoting the multinomial coefficient). Equivalently, the cohomology theory L_n is represented by the p-adic rationalization of the spectrum

$$\bigvee S^{2r}(\prod \mathrm{U}(i-1)^{n_i})_+$$

(indexed now by **ordered** partitions of n), with $\mathrm{U}(k)$ the group of $k \times k$ unitary matrices.

Proof: By the multinomial theorem. □

Remarks:

1) This corollary is a weakening of the original conjecture; but just because it is a question about cohomology theories in characteristic zero doesn't seem to make it more accessible.

2) Mark Behrens asks if or how Gross-Hopkins duality fits into this story. The decomposition above suggests the existence of a (noncommutative) product

$$\mathsf{L}_n \wedge \mathsf{L}_m \to \mathsf{L}_{n+m}$$

and the proposition looks like it might have a reformulation in terms of some kind of Koszul duality; but I can't imagine what that might be ...

References

1. P Goerss, H-W Henn, M Mahowald, The rational homotopy of the $K(2)$-local sphere and the chromatic splitting conjecture for the prime 3 and level 2, available at `arXiv:1210.7031`
2. M Hovey, Bousfield localization functors and Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture, in **The Čech centennial** 225250, Contemp. Math. 181 AMS 1995.
3. J Morava, Noetherian localisations of categories of cobordism comodules. Ann. of Math. 121 (1985) 139.
4. D Ravenel, Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories, Amer. J. Math. 106 (1984) 351414.

The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218
E-mail address: `jack@math.jhu.edu`